

## **Performance of Microfinance Institutions in North East India: Data Envelopment Analysis based efficiency analysis**

**Shibu Das**  
**Assistant Professor**  
**Department of Commerce**  
**Lachit Barphookan Commerce Academy, Nagaon-782001**  
**(Research Scholar, Deptt of Commerce, Assam University)**

### **Abstract**

Micro Finance Institutions (MFIs) emerged with unparalleled scope to poor people who did not have access to commercial banks. It involves the provision of micro-credit, savings and other services to the poor that are excluded by the commercial banks for collateral and other reasons. It is an empirical study and analytical in nature and the study will be conducted on secondary data. According to the Mix Market data base ten MFIs are providing services and all are taken for the study. The outcome of the data analysis revealed sufficient evidence to establish an efficiency among the branch offices. Accuracy of the efficiency is depend upon the accuracy of the reported by the North East MFIs. The study will try to identify some of the hidden facts/ figures relating to the efficiency of the MFIs in Assam. It offers a beneficial source of information to Asomi, MFIs in Assam. The result of this study would help the MFIs to better understanding the micro finance and MFIs may try to improve their branch level efficiency through this research work.

**Keywords:-** DEA, Efficiency, MFIs, North East India

## Introduction

Microcredit is the practice of offering small loans to poor people who are not traditionally being served by the commercial bank. Microcredit was first founded in Bangladesh in the 70s and 80s by Nobel laureate Mohammad Yunus and the organization he founded, Grameen Bank. Following the success of Grameen Bank, this financial innovation has not only been used in Bangladesh alone, but also has been replicating by the most other countries in the world with some modification based on contextual differences.

A large section of the Indian population is uncovered by formal financial system. In the year 2011, Indian census report has showed that 102 million households out of a total of 247 million in India are not covered by the banking system and 65 percent of these households are in rural areas. It is noticeable, however, that between 2001 and 2011 there was 23 percent of increase in the number of household services by banks but this growth was more prominent in the rural areas<sup>1</sup>. Microfinance in India evolved in order to fill the gap created by formal banking sector. Therefore, microfinance is referred to as the alternate commercial sector targeting the poor<sup>2</sup>. Microfinance has become a global industry and it is increasing at a noticeable rate worldwide. It continues to add 13 percent borrowers annually since 1999<sup>3</sup>. In developing countries Microfinance Institutions (MFIs) emerged with huge opportunity to the poor people who do not have access to commercial banks. The MFIs face unique challenges because it must achieve dual objectives- outreach i.e. provide financial services to the poor and sustainability i.e. cover its cost.<sup>4</sup>

---

<sup>1</sup> Nair, S. T. (2014, April). *Microfinance Regulation in India: A Critical Perspective*. Yojana. Vol.58. P 45.

<sup>2</sup> Pathak., V. B. (2011). *Financial Inclusion and Microfinance*. The Indian Financial System. (3<sup>rd</sup> Edition). New Delhi, India: Pearson. P 734

<sup>3</sup> Roy., A (2012, November). *Agricultural Finance Vs. Profitability of Microfinance Institutes- A case Study of the MFIs of Assam*. Asian pacific Journal of marketing & Management Review Vo. 1 No. 3, Pp 1-2.

<sup>4</sup> Das., S. (2014, April). Efficiency measurement of MF is in Assam: A comparative Study In of Asomi Vs. RGVN(NE). International Referred Journal Researchers World. Vol. V. Issue-2(1). P. 13.

### **Research Limitations:**

Accuracy of the efficiency is depend upon the accuracy of the reported by the North East MFIs.

### **Significance of the study:**

The present study is an attempt to identify some of the hidden facts of NER MFIs.

This study may be helpful to financial institutions in improving their efficiency. Prospective savers will be able to identify the efficient MFIs of this region.

### **Objectives of the study**

1. To measure the level of technical efficiency of MFIs of the NER; and

### **Hypotheses:**

1.  $H_{01}$ = There is same level of technical efficiency among MFIs in the NER; and

### **Methodology:**

This Study is an empirical and analytical in nature. It has been conducted on secondary data. It is based on annual data financial year 2011-12. According to the **MIX Market data base** ten MFIs are operating in North East India on 25-04-2014. Based on Data Envelopment Analysis (DEA), efficiency analysis had been performed to find out the best practice MFIs among the North East India. Table:1 contains information about MFIs:

**Table: 1 Detail List of NER MFIs**

Sl. No.	MFIs	Parent State	Date of Establishment	Status	Product(s) Offered	Main Funding Source(s)
1	ASOMI	Assam	1-1-2001	NBFI	Loan	Loan, Shareholders, Capital
2	RGVN(NE)	Assam	1-1-1996	NBFI	Loan	Grant, Loan & Savings
3	GRAMEEN SAHARA	Assam	1-1-2005	NGO	Loan	Grant
4	NIGHTANGLE	Assam	2-10-1997	NGO	Loan	Grant
5	BANDHAN	West Bengal	11-4-2001	NBFI	Loan, Insurance	Loan, shareholders, capital
6	KANKLATA MAHILA URBAN CO-OPERATIVE	Assam	2009	Co-Operative	Loan	Grant
7	Chanura Microfinance Manipur (CMM)	Manipur	2007	NGO	Loan	Grant
8	Youth Volunteers' Union Microfinance (YMF)	Manipur	1970	NGO	Full scale financial Services, Health, Education, Loan	Loan, Shareholders, Grant
9	Youth Volunteers' Union Financial Services (YFS)	Manipur	9-7-1993	NBFI	Full scale financial Services, Health, Education,	Loan, Shareholders, Grant

					Loan	
10	Weaker Section Development Society (WSDS)	Manipur	26-7-1989	NGO	Health, Education, Loan	Loan, Grant

Source: MIX Market data base

### Concept of Efficiency:

Production is an act of transforming input into output because the objective of production is to create value through transformation<sup>5</sup>. To evaluate the efficiency of firms relative to the best production, it is necessary to have a quantify standard. That standard can only be determined by those productive units which share a common technology<sup>6</sup>.

### Input Oriented Measured:

Input oriented measure address the question of ‘by how much can input quantity be proportionally reduced without changing the output quantities produced’<sup>7</sup>. It means input(s) can be reduced without affect the output level.

### Output Oriented Measured:

Output Oriented measures TE address the question as to ‘by how much can output quantity be proportionally expand without altering the input quantities used’<sup>8</sup>. It means output can be increased without introducing inputs.

The output oriented measures could be explained focusing a change in output by using fixed level inputs.

### Mathematical Framework:

DEA is a non-parametric method of measuring the efficiency of a Decision Making Units (DMUs)<sup>9</sup>, here MFIs are called DMUs. In this technique, both Input oriented measure and output oriented measure have been used for the comparison of efficiency score<sup>10</sup>.

<sup>5</sup> Ray, C. S. (2004). *Data Envelopment Analysis Theory and techniques for Economic and Operations Research*. Cambridge University Press, New York. P1.

<sup>6</sup> Qayyum, A., & Ahmed, M. (2006). *Efficiency and Sustainability of Micro Finance*. MMRA Paper No. 1167, posted, November 2008. Retrieved from <http://mpra.up.uni-muenchen.de/11674> on 22-8-2013. Pp. 8

<sup>7</sup> Deb, J. (n.d.). *Post-Reform bank efficiency in North-East India: a branch level analysis*. (Doctoral thesis, North-Eastern Hill University, India). <http://shodhganga.inflibnet.ac.in/handle/10603/5308> Retrieved on 20-3-2014 Pp. Chapter V

<sup>8</sup> Ibid Chapter V.

DEA is a linear programming methodology to measure the relative performance and efficiency of multiple DMUs when it involves multiple inputs and outputs.

Advantages of DEA:

- Capable of handling multiple inputs and outputs.
- Capable of being used with any input- output measurement.
- The sources of inefficiency can be analysed and quantified for every evaluated unit.

In DEA, efficiency can be measured by an input oriented process, which focuses on reducing inputs to produce the same level of output and output oriented process which aims to maximize output from a given set of input<sup>11</sup>. The DEA method is based on the common assumption of Constant Return to Scale (CRS) and the alternative assumption of Variable Return to Scale (VRS).

In the year 1978, Charnes, Cooper and Rhodes (CCR) provided the following formula to measure TE under CRS:

$$\begin{aligned} & \text{Max } \sum_{r=1}^s u_r y_{r0} \\ & \text{Subject to : } \sum_{r=1}^s u_r y_{rj} - \sum_{i=1}^m v_i x_{ij} \leq 0 \\ & \sum_{i=1}^m v_i x_{i0} = 1 \quad -u_r \leq 0 ; -v \leq 0. \end{aligned}$$

Where,  $y_{rj}$  and  $x_{ij}$  are positive known outputs and inputs of the  $j$ th DMU and  $u_r$  and  $v_i$  are the variable weight to be determined by solving above equation problems.

On the other hand, the following model provided by Banker, Charnes and Cooper (1984) dealt with the VRS version of DEA.

$$\begin{aligned} & \text{Max } \sum_{r=1}^s u_r y_{r0} - u_0 \\ & \text{Subject } \sum_{r=1}^s u_r y_{rjt} - \sum_{i=1}^m v_i x_{ij} - u_0 \leq 0 \\ & \sum_{i=1}^m v_i x_{i0} = 1 \\ & -u_r \leq 0, -v_i \leq 0. \end{aligned}$$

$u^*$  indicates the return to scale possibilities. An  $u^* < 0$  implies increasing return to scale and  $u^* > 0$  implies a decreasing return to scale. Our DEA ranges between 0-100.

<sup>9</sup> Ray, C. S. (2004). *Data Envelopment Analysis Theory and techniques for Economic and Operations Research*. Cambridge University Press, New York. Pp.1

<sup>10</sup> Qayyum, A., & Ahmed, M. (2006). *Efficiency and Sustainability of Micro Finance*. MMRA Paper No. 1167, posted, November 2008. Retrieved from <http://mpra.up.uni-muenchen.de/11674> on 22-8-2013. P. 13.

<sup>11</sup> Gebremichael, Z., B. (2013). *Efficiency, outreach and sustainability of Ethiopian microfinance institutions*. Doctoral thesis, Andhra University, Andhra Pradesh, India). <http://shodhganga.inflibnet.ac.in/handle/10603/12700> Retrieved on 28-4-2014 P.75

**Selection of Inputs and Outputs:**

For the study, two inputs and two outputs have been used.

Inputs are : Total No. of employees( $X_1$ ); and Operating Expenses( $X_2$ ).

Output is : Gross Loan Portfolio ( $Y_1$ ). (All outstanding principals due for all outstanding client loans. This includes current, delinquent, and renegotiated loans, but not loans that have been written off.)

**The Empirical Study:**

The DEA technical efficiency for 10 MFIs is calculated by assuming both Constant Return to Scale and Variable Return to Scale technology. While measuring the technical efficiency of MFIs, both input oriented measures as well as output oriented measures have been used.

**Table: 2 Efficiency Measurements by Model I  
(Output Indicator = Gross Loan Portfolio)**

Efficiency scores	VRS	CRS	Scale efficiencies	Returns-to-scale
<b>Asomi</b>	0.3521	0.3375	0.9585	increasing
<b>RGVN(NE)</b>	0.5537	0.5493	0.9921	increasing
<b>Grameen Sahara</b>	0.6034	0.5656	0.9374	increasing
<b>Nightangle</b>	0.9164	0.6927	0.7558	increasing
<b>Kanaklata Mahila Urban Co-Operative</b>	1.0000	0.4339	0.4339	increasing
<b>Bandhan</b>	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	constant
<b>CMM</b>	0.1541	0.1259	0.8172	increasing
<b>YMF</b>	0.4435	0.3846	0.8673	increasing
<b>YFS</b>	0.6247	0.3190	0.5106	increasing
<b>WSDS</b>	1.0000	0.6821	0.6821	increasing
<b>Mean</b>	0.6648	0.5091	0.7955	

Author's calculation

In Table :2 under them Model I, the result show that one MFI is on the technical efficient frontier when CRS is assumed, while three MFIs are on the efficient frontier in the

case when VRS is assumed. The MFI that remains technically efficient under both CRS and VRS assumption is Bandhan. It is fully engaged in microfinance related activities.

In Model I, average output oriented VRS, CRS and Scale efficiency are 66.48%, 50.91% and 79.55% respectively.

Under the output oriented measured the MFIs can increase their loan portfolio by 33.52% with the existing level of input by efficient utilization of the inputs, viz., number of employees and operating expenses.

Under the output oriented measured 90% of the MFIs are the stage of increasing return to scale while no one out of ten MFIs included in the study are at decreasing return to scale.

**Table: 3 shows the virtual inputs and output of Model I**

DMUs	Total No. of Employees	Reduced	Operating Expenses	Reduced	Gross Loan Portfolio	Increased
<b>Asomi</b>	242.00	0.00%	40,868,057.91	9.45%	906,225,026.50	183.97%
<b>RGVN(NE)</b>	486.42	1.93%	82,256,146.78	0.00%	1,846,461,162.87	80.61%
<b>Grameen Sahara</b>	73.45	3.36%	10,871,535.23	0.00%	230,591,597.07	65.73%
<b>Nightangle</b>	42.00	0.00%	6,315,758.66	18.74%	124,023,102.96	9.12%
<b>CMM</b>	56.00	0.00%	8,734,419.49	10.83%	178,777,234.94	548.99%
<b>YMF</b>	77.00	0.00%	12,362,410.95	17.23%	260,908,437.85	125.49%
<b>YFS</b>	21.00	0.00%	2,687,767.41	50.20%	41,891,904.99	60.07%

#### **Author's Calculation**

In Table 3 shows the virtual inputs level and output level of the seven MFIs who could not reach the highest score under the CRS and VRS assumption. Here, virtual inputs explains that how much total number of employees and operating expenses may be reduced



and virtual output means that how much gross loan portfolio may increased without introducing the additional inputs.

In case of Asomi MFIs could have reduced 9.45% of operating expenses with increasing 183.97% of Gross loan portfolio. GRVN(NE) MFIs could have reduced 1.93% of **Total No. of Employees** with increasing 80.61% of Gross loan portfolio. **Grameen Sahara** MFIs could have reduced 3.36% of **Total No. of Employees** with increasing 65.73% of Gross loan portfolio. **Nightangle** MFIs could have reduced 18.74% of operating expenses with increasing 9.12% of Gross loan portfolio. **CMM** MFIs could have reduced 10.83% of operating expenses with increasing 548.99% of Gross loan portfolio. **YMF** MFIs could have reduced 17.23% of operating expenses with increasing 125.49% of Gross loan portfolio. **YFS** MFIs could have reduced 50.20% of operating expenses with increasing 60.07% of Gross loan portfolio

#### **Correlation Analysis:**

This section examines the possible determinants of efficiency of MFIs in North East India. Here, I suggest different variables that can clarify the efficiency of MFIs.

These variables can be divided into different groups based on age, basic characteristics, financial management and performance. I used correlation in this section.

First variable considered is the *age* of the MFI. It is hypothesize become older may perform better than others.

The second category relates to the features of MFIs in terms of size i.e. total value of assets (TA). Hypothesize that larger firms may perform better than smaller size.

The last variable that represents the financial management of MFIs is Debt-Equity ratio. It is imagined that higher debt-equity ratio reduces firm efficiency.

**Table: 4 Correlation coefficients between the different Technical efficiency measured and variables**

<b>Variables</b>	<b>CRS/TE</b>	<b>VRS/PTE</b>	<b>SE</b>
Age	0.18972387	0.173984063	-0.050905
Assets	0.705166915	0.383186498	0.3752283
Debt-Equity Ratio	0.219105352	0.120857629	0.1859938

#### **Author's calculations**

In Table: 2 calculated the correlation coefficients between different efficiency measures and the variables defined above. The results show that the age, value of total assets

and debt/equity ratio are positively correlated with all efficiency measures except age under scale efficiency assumption.

The result from the correlation coefficient came to conclude that age and size of MFIs are important in determining the technical efficiency of MFIs.

## **Conclusion**

This paper tried to investigate the efficiency level of ten MFIs in North East India and determinants of level of technical efficiency. For the efficiency analysis we used non-parametric Data Envelopment Analysis and considered input oriented and output oriented methods by assuming both CRS and VRS assumption.

While conducting DEA analysis for the year 2011-12, it has been found that out of 10 there are one microfinance institution is **Bandhan** that is on efficiency frontier under constant return to scale assumption and three microfinance institutions **Kanaklata Mahila Urban Co-Operative, Bandhan and WSDS** that are on efficiency frontier under variable return to scale assumption.

The inefficiency of MFIs in NER is mainly of technical nature. In order to increase the efficiency of the MFIs, there is the need of accelerating managerial skill and improving policy. Then it will meet the dual objectives of outreach and financial sustainability.

## Bibliography

1. Chanes, A., Cooper, W. W. & Rhodes, E., (1978). *Measuring the Efficiency of decision making units*. European Journal of Operational Research. 2(6) pp429-444. North Holland Publishing Company. Retrieved from <http://www.utdallas.edu/~ryoun/phdseminar/CCR1978.pdf> on 28-7-2013
2. Chanu, A., I., & Borman, R., (2009). *What is wrong with the microfinance programme?* Banijya 2, 70-73.
3. Das., S. (2014, April). *Efficiency measurement of MFIs in Assam: A comparative Study In of Asomi Vs. RGVN(NE)*. International Referred Journal Researchers World. Vol. V, Issue-2(1).
4. Debdatta Paul. (2010, October-December). *Measuring Technical Efficiency of Micro Finance Institutions in India*. Indian Journal of Agri. Econ. Vol. 65, No. 4. Retrieved from [http://www.environmentportal.in/files/microfinance\\_0.pdf](http://www.environmentportal.in/files/microfinance_0.pdf) on 5-8-2014.
5. Deb, J.,(n.d.). *Post-Reform bank efficiency in North-East India: a branch level analysis*. Doctoral thesis, North East Hill University, Meghalaya. Retrieved from <http://shodhganga.inflibnet.ac.in/simple-search?query&submit=Go>. on 23-12-2013.
6. Farrington, T. (2000). *Efficiency in microfinance institutes*. Microbanking Bulletin. Retrieved from [http://wtrc-tmed.org/resources/Efficiency in MFIs.pdf](http://wtrc-tmed.org/resources/Efficiency%20in%20MFIs.pdf) on 12-4-2013.
7. Farrel, J., M. (1957). *Measurement of Productive Efficiency*. Journal of Statistical Society. Series A, General. Retrieved from <http://www.aae.wisc.edu/aae741/Ref/Farrell%201957.pdf> on 27-7-2014.
8. Gebremichael., Z., B. (2013). *Efficiency, Outreach and Sustainability of Ethiopian Microfinance Institutions*. Doctoral thesis, Andhra University, Andhra Pradesh, India). <http://shodhganga.inflibnet.ac.in/handle/10603/12700> Retrieved on 28-4-2014.
9. Gebremichael, B., Z., & Rani, L., (2012). *Total Factor Productivity change of Ethiopian Microfinance Institutions (MFIs): A Malmquest Productivity Index Approach (MPI)*. European Journal of Business & Management. Vol. 4, No. 3. Retrieved from <http://shodhganga.inflibnet.ac.in/handle/10603/12700>. on 2-1-2014
10. Hermes, N., Lensink, R., and Meesters, A. (2008). *Outreach and efficiency of microfinance institutions*. Retrieved from <http://papers.ssm.com>. On 23-4-13

11. Haq, M., Skully, M., T., & Pathan, S., (2010). *Efficiency of Microfinance Institutions: A Data Envelopment Analysis*. Asia-Pacific Markets. Retrieved from [http://papers.ssrn.com/sol3/papers.cfm?abstract\\_id=1405709](http://papers.ssrn.com/sol3/papers.cfm?abstract_id=1405709) on 12-4-2013
12. Ing, Krishna, V., (2005, August). *Using Data Envelopment Analysis Models to Efficiency*. BIATEC, Volume XIII, 8/2005.
13. MIX Market. Information Exchange for Microfinance Industry. Retrieved from [www.mixmarket.org](http://www.mixmarket.org). on 25-5-2014
14. Masood, T., & Ahmed, I. (2010, March 15). *Technical Efficiency of Micro Finance Institutions in India- A Stochastic Frontier Approach*. Retrieved from [http://mpra.ub.uni-muenchen.de/25454/1/MPRA\\_paper\\_25454.pdf](http://mpra.ub.uni-muenchen.de/25454/1/MPRA_paper_25454.pdf) on 26-12-2013. on 12-12-2013
15. NABARD, Annual Report (2009-10) Retrieved from [http://www.nabard.org/Fileupload/DataBank/AnnualReport/\\_Annual%20Report%2009-10%20English.pdf](http://www.nabard.org/Fileupload/DataBank/AnnualReport/_Annual%20Report%2009-10%20English.pdf) on 4-4-2013
16. Nair, S. T. (2014, April). *Microfinance Regulation in India: A Critical Perspective*. Yojana. Vol.58.
17. Pathak., V. B. (2011). *Financial Inclusion and Microfinance*. The Indian Financial System. (3<sup>rd</sup> Edition). New Delhi, India: Pearson.
18. Qayyum, A., & Ahmed, M., (2006). *Efficiency and Sustainability of Microfinance*. MPRA. Retrieved from [http://mpa.ub.uni-muenchen.de/11674/MPRA\\_paper\\_No\\_11674](http://mpa.ub.uni-muenchen.de/11674/MPRA_paper_No_11674), Posted 21.Nov. 2008 16:46 UTC. on 25-5-2013
19. Ray, C. S. (2004). *Data Envelopment Analysis Theory and techniques for Economic and Operations Research*. Cambridge University Press, New York.
20. Roy, A. (2012, November). *Agricultural finance vs. profitability of Microfinance Institutions-A case study of the MFIs of Assam*. Asia Pacific Journal of Marketing & Management Review Vol. 1 No. 3
21. Sherman, & Zhu (n.d.). *Chapter 2, Data Envelopment Analysis explained*. Retrieved from [www.springer.com/cda/content/.../cda.../978038](http://www.springer.com/cda/content/.../cda.../978038). on 1-1-2014
22. Trich, M., (n.d.). *Data Envelopment Analysis, Chapter 12*. Retrieved from [mat.gsia.edu/classes/quent/Notes/chapter12.pdf](http://mat.gsia.edu/classes/quent/Notes/chapter12.pdf). on 1-1-2014
23. Zerai, B., & Rani, L., (2012). *Technical Efficiency and its Determination of Micro Finance Institutions in Ethiopia: A Stochastic Frontier Approach*. African Journal of Accounting, Economics, Finance & Banking Research Vol. 8 No. 8, 2012.

-----XXX-----